

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8 KLINTON MICHAEL KING,  
9  
10 Petitioner,

No. C-12-5140 TEH (PR)

11 v.

ORDER FOR RESPONDENT TO RE-SEND  
RESPONSE AND EXHIBITS TO  
PETITIONER

12 L.S. McEWEN, Warden,  
13  
14 Respondent.  
15 \_\_\_\_\_/


16 Petitioner, a state prisoner incarcerated at California  
17 State Prison in Lancaster, California, has filed a pro se Petition  
18 for a Writ of Habeas Corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 challenging a  
19 judgment of conviction from Lake County Superior Court. Doc. #1.  
20 On July 18, 2013, the Court issued an Order for Respondent to show  
21 cause why the petition should not be granted. Doc. #16. On  
22 September 10, 2013, Respondent filed his answer to the show cause  
23 order and exhibits in support of the answer. Petitioner's traverse  
24 was due on October 21, 2013. That date has passed, but Petitioner  
25 has not filed the traverse.

26 The Court notes that, on September 13, 2013, Petitioner  
27 filed a notice that his address had changed from "C-1" to "C-4."  
28 However, Respondent sent his response to Petitioner at "C-1." This

1 may be the reason Petitioner has failed to file his traverse on  
2 time. Therefore, Respondent is direct to re-send his response and  
3 supporting exhibits to Petitioner at his current address and to  
4 enter the re-mailing on the case docket. Petitioner must file his  
5 traverse within twenty-eight days of receipt of the response.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7  
8 DATED 10/24/2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
THELTON E. HENDERSON  
United States District Judge

9  
10  
11  
12  
13 G:\PRO-SE\TEH\HC.12\King 12-5140-Re-send Response.wpd  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28